

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,447	02/22/2007	Reijo Pekkala	P18714-US1	9831
27045 7590 11/30/2009 ERICSSON INC.			EXAMINER	
6300 LEGACY DRIVE M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024			CHAL, LONGBIT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TLANO, IA	3024		2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	LONGBIT CHAI	2431					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be surable under the provisions of 37 CPT 1.13(g), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or eply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set for extended period for reply with the set for extended period for reply within the set for extended period for reply with the set for extended period for reply within the set for extended period for reply with the set for extended period for extended period for reply with the set for extended period for reply with the set for extended period							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Fe</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 3)□ Since this application is in condition rallowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Datement(s) (PTO-956/00)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nots / Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Pater Lapplication.	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

 Applicant's claim for benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a) – (d) is acknowledged.

The application is filed on 2/22/2007 but is a 371 case of PCT/EP03/11609 application filed on 10/31/2003 and has a foreign priority application filed on 10/27/2003.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 5 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: "according to claim [[5]] ([[10]])" respectively should be replaced with "according to claim [[5]] 1 ([[10]] 1)" respectively. Appropriate correction(s) is (are) required. Any other claims not addressed are objected by virtue of their dependency should also be corrected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims are directed to "A telecommunication <u>network</u>", which does not fall into the categories of "process", "machine", "manufacture" and "composition of matter". All dependent claims are rejected to as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Faccin (WO 02/11395 A2).

As per claim 1 and 6, Faccin teaches a telecommunication network with a first domain comprising:

a mobile application part protocol instance connected to a gateway node (Faccin: Page 6 Line 5 and Page 6 Line 21 – 22) adapted to send and receive mobile application part messages, the gateway node being connectable to a second domain, wherein the gateway node is adapted to receive a mobile application part message from the first domain, to convert the received mobile application part message obtaining a secured mobile application part message, and to send the obtained message towards the second domain (Faccin: Page 22 Line 2 – 13, Page 15 Line 10 – 15 and Page 19 Line 1 – 3), the gateway node further being adapted to receive a secured mobile application part message from the second domain, to extract an unsecured mobile application part message from the received secured mobile application part message and to send the received mobile application part message and to send the received mobile application part message and to send the

As per claim 4 and 9, Faccin teaches the gateway node is connectable to different domains, and levels of security are configurable for the different domains (Faccin: Page 15 Line 13 – 15).

As per claim 5 and 10, Faccin teaches for a particular domain a fallback to a lower level of security than the configured level of security for the particular domain is allowable and allowing the fallback to the lower level of security is configurable for one domain independently from a configuring of an allowing of a respective fallback to a lower level of security level for another domain (Faccin: Page 9 Line 4 – 10 and Page 20 Line 14 – 16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this till, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faccin (WO 02/11395 A2), in view of Arkko et al. (U.S. Patent 2002/0052200).

As per claim 2 and 7, Faccin does not disclose expressly the gateway node performs a selective discarding of mobile application part messages received from the first domain and destined for the third domain and a selective discarding of mobile application part messages received from the third and destined for the first domain.

Arkko teaches the gateway node performs a selective discarding of mobile application part messages received from the first domain and destined for the third domain and a selective discarding of mobile application part messages received from the third and destined for the first domain (Arkko: Para [029] – [0030] and Para [01101).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Arkko within the system of Faccin because (a) Faccin teaches providing for securing signaling connections using MAP protocol in telecommunication network such as SS7 networks (Faccin: Abstract), and (b) Arkko teaches a method for securing the signaling traffic between network elements in different operator's networks and preventing illegitimate use of MAP protocols (Arkko: Para [00101).

 Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faccin (WO 02/11395 A2), in view of Valentine et al. (U.S. Patent 6,327,267).

As per claim 3 and 8, Faccin does not disclose expressly the gateway node performs as a firewall towards the third domain.

Valentine teaches the gateway node performs as a firewall towards the third domain (Valentine: Column 5 Line 65 – 67 / Line 33 – 35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Valentine within the system of Faccin because (a) Faccin teaches providing for securing signaling connections using MAP protocol in telecommunication network such as SS7 networks (Faccin: Abstract), and (b) Valentine teaches a method for securing the signaling traffic between network elements in different operator's networks where an STP (inter-network gateway) can also act as a

Application/Control Number: 10/595,447

Art Unit: 2431

"firewall" to screen / filter SS7 messages with other networks (Valentine: Column 5 Line 65 – 67 / Line 33 – 35).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LONGBIT CHAI whose telephone number is (571)272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Longbit Chai/

Longbit Chai E.E. Ph.D Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431 4/20/2009